

| | | |
|---|--|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/599,976 | SCHELLER ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | MARCELA M. CORDERO GARCIA | 1654 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: <i>after non-final rejection</i> | |
| (1) <u>MARCELA M. CORDERO GARCIA</u> . | (3) _____. | |
| (2) <u>LEANNE M. RAKERS</u> . | (4) _____. | |
| Date of Interview: <u>05 May 2011</u> | Time: <u>3 pm</u> | |
| Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative) | | |
| Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: . | | |
| Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: <i>Rejections of record</i> | | |
| Claims discussed: <u>37, 46, 52</u> | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: <u>N/A</u> | | |
| Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>See Continuation Sheet</i> | | |
| Part III. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| <u>/MARCELA M CORDERO GARCIA/</u> Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1654 | | |
| (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's representative on 5/5/2011 to propose amendments that would place the claim in condition for allowance. Further discussions occurred on 5/6/2011 with regards to the methods claims. As discussed on 5/9/2011 the amendment includes cancelling claims 37-39 and 50-51, which were not previously examined and are drawn to a different scope and restricted subject matter (see restriction requirement dated 11/6/2009). Furthermore, on 5/11/2011 Applicant agreed to amending the specification to provide a brief description of the drawings and claim 55 to provide antecedent basis. The resulting amendment is herein attached.